UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/549,578	09/19/2005	Takato Masuda	017700-0178	1198
23392 FOLEY & LAR	7590 03/24/200 RDNER	EXAMINER		
2029 CENTURY PARK EAST			ALI, MOHAMMAD M	
SUITE 3500 LOS ANGELE	S, CA 90067		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3744	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			03/24/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
	10/549,578	MASUDA ET AL.		
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit		
	MOHAMMAD M. ALI	3744		
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION (36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from e, cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status				
1) ☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 19 S 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for alloward closed in accordance with the practice under B.	s action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro			
Disposition of Claims				
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-10 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-10 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or Application Papers 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on 19 September 2005 is/a	wn from consideration. or election requirement. er.	ted to by the Examiner.		
Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See tion is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). lected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.				
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 02/15/08 & 09/19/05.	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	ate		

Application/Control Number: 10/549,578 Page 2

Art Unit: 3744

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-2, 6-7 and 8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Hirose et al., (2001-202837 A). Hirose et al. disclose method of operating a superconducting cabel 2using a conductor cooled by a refrigerant I/O to transmit electric power, characterized in that refrigerant's temperature is changed in accordance with a transmission capacity of a superconducting cable 2. See Fig.1-2, Para [0002] and [0003], [0004], [0005], [0006], [0007] and [0010].; Para [0003] states cooling system including a freezer or a thermal-conversion machine in the longitudinal direction of the cable for every constant interval, in order to always hold a picture superconductivity cable to very low temperature. This cooling system need to suppress a temperature change for the liquid nitrogen in which temperature rose with the generating heat -----. Therefore, the installation zone length of the processing quantity of heat and cooling system of a refrigerant flow rate and a cooling system is decided to enter in the abovementioned width. ----at the time of overload, the refrigerant flow rate is made to increase to cooling near an applicable portion intensively. This disclosure is supporting "temperature is changed in accordance with a transmission capacity of a superconducting cable. Para [0004] states, The liquid nitrogen cooled by the cooling system must hold the temperature in service temperature variation width----. This

Art Unit: 3744

supports that the variation of cooling load must be meet by holding the temperature in the service temperature variation width. Para [0005] and [0006] state how to increase electric capacity in a superconductive cable. Para [0007] states how to provide more low temperature in times of need. Para [0010] states how to increase transmission capacity.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* **v.** *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

- 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
- 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
- 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
- 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.

Claims 4-5, 9 and 10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hirose et al., in view of Graneu et al., (3,646,243). Horose et al., disclose the invention as claimed as stated above except plurality of cable circuit, control mechanism and switching mechanism. Graneau et al., teach the use of plurality of superconducting cable circuits 10 and 11; a control mechanism 17 and switching mechanism 60, 61, 62,

63, 64 in a superconductive cooling circuit for the purpose of controlling a cooling circuits diverting the fluid in various flow paths to meet the cooling demand in various situation. As the cooling circuit can be switched to various flow path an ordinary skill of art obviously able to know how the divert a cooling circuit from a blocked line to another non blocked line in order to block supply of the refrigerant to the unavailable superconducting cable and allow supply of refrigerant to a remaining good superconducting cable for claim 10.

Page 4

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to MOHAMMAD M. ALI whose telephone number is (571)272-4806. The examiner can normally be reached on maxiflex.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Cheryl J. Tyler can be reached on 571-272-4808. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Application/Control Number: 10/549,578

Page 5

Art Unit: 3744

/Mohammad M Ali/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3744